

November 21, 2006

To: Office of the Comptroller of the Currency
Customer Assistance Group
1301 McKinney Street, Suite 3450
Houston, TX 77010-9050
Fax: 1.713.336.4301


CONGRESSIONAL BLACK CAUCAS
c/o The Chairman and the 42 members
1720 Massachusetts Avenue
Washington DC 20036
Tel: 202.226.9776
Fax: 202.225.1512

Robert D. Drain, Judge
U.S. Bankruptcy Court for the
Southern District of New York
One Bowling Green, Room 610
New York, NY 10004

Clerk of the Court
U.S. Bankruptcy Court for the
Southern District of New York
One Bowling Green
New York, NY 10004

Kimba Wood, Chief Judge
U.S. District Court for the
Southern District of New York
500 Pearl Street
New York, NY 10007

David M. Walker
Comptroller General
441 G Street, NW
Washington DC 20548



Re: COMPLAINT NO. 664144 and out of control seditious
and subversive overthrowing of Article 1, Section
8 of the United States Constitution; Acts of Congress
authorized by: 31 CFR Part 210; Title 31 USC §§ 1304
(a) (1), 3326, 3333, 3335, 3522 (b) (2) and (4), 3526,
3727; Title 11 USC §§ 101 (5) (A) and (B), (54); 105
(c) and (d) (1); 301; 502 (a); Federal Rules of
Bankruptcy Procedure, Rules 3001 (a), (e) (4) and (f);
3003 (c) (4); Title 28 USC §§ 102 (a) (1), 112 (b),
151, 157 (a); Federal Rules of Civil Procedure Rules

Greetings United States officers, agencies and agents:

The enclosed legal documents gave the local branch of JPMorgan Chase Bank N.A. lawful Notification that Check # 104 was being submitted as a Uniform Commercial Code complied with payment order relating to the Guaranteed Agreement that JPMorgan Chase had made with the Delphi Corporation to pay "ALL" the obligations of "ALL" the debtors et al., claims in the U.S. Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York in Case No. 05-44481 (RDD).

Check # 104 was "NOT" paid, was "NOT" credited or deposited into this account and the account was illegally closed about October 27, 2006, in retaliation for seeking this payment procedure on the debt which has been past due since October 8, 2005, for more than one year!

What has JPMorgan Chase Bank done with this property that Legally belongs to Lafonza Earl Washington?

Check # 104 was presented for a two-party payment on October 23, 2006, and the account had "NOT" been discriminattingly closed under color of law on this date.

It is further alleged to the OCC, the CBC et al., that certain bank frauding officers etc., at JPMorgan etc., "HAS" processed or "WILL" process this check thru the Automatic Clearinghouse System if it is "NOT" physically returned!


Each of the above-cited enactments by the Congress have been violated against this citizen and the "UNAUTHORIZED" corporate acts of Chase etc., "WILL" or "HAVE" already processed this check for payment of \$1,059,547,329.00, based on the bank being a Federal depository and the check is drawn on the account of the Department of the Treasury -FMS.

A Congressional hearing is demanded against all the parties who are obstructing justice, interfering with this payment, and overthrowing the United States bankruptcy system herein. Please exercise your office "VESTED" powers to immediately assist in getting this check returned and/or paid. Thank you.

In Truth, Righteousness & Peace,

Earl Washington

Earl Washington



THE ONLINE HOME FOR THE
CONGRESSIONAL BLACK CAUCUS
of the 109th United States Congress.

"The Conscience of Congress since 1969"

[HOME](#) | [OFFICERS / MEMBERS](#) | [CBC HISTORY](#) | [PRESS RELEASES](#) | [FLOOR SPEECHES](#) | [PR](#)

Recent CBC Headlines

Edolphus Towns to Deliver Weekly CBC "Message To America"

9/28/2006
CBC Members Urge Secretary of State Rice to Push Bush Administration to Take Immediate Action on Darfur

9/22/2006
U.S. Representative Bennie G. Thompson to Deliver Weekly CBC "Message To America"

9/15/2006
U.S. Representative Robert C. Scott to Deliver Weekly CBC "Message To America"

for complete headline listings click here

Congressional Black Caucus
2236 Rayburn Building
Washington, DC 20515
phone: 202-226-9776
fax: 202-225-1512

CBC MEMBERS

[Committee Membership | CBC States](#)

[Printable List of CBC Members and Contact Information](#)

OFFICERS

Member of Congress	State
Rep. Melvin L. Watt Chair	North Carolina
Rep. Corrine Brown Vice-Chair	Florida
Rep. Carolyn Kilpatrick Second Vice-Chair	Michigan
Rep. Danny K. Davis Secretary	Illinois
Rep. Barbara Lee Whip	California

MEMBERS

Members of Congress	State
Rep. Sanford D. Bishop, Jr.	Georgia
Rep. G.K. Butterfield	North Carolina
Rep. Julia M. Carson	Indiana
Rep. Donna M. Christian-Christensen	Virgin Islands
Rep. William L. Clay, Jr.	Missouri
Rep. Emanuel Cleaver, II	Missouri
Rep. James E. Clyburn	South Carolina
Rep. John Conyers, Jr. (founding member)	Michigan
Rep. Elijah E. Cummings	Maryland
Rep. Artur Davis	Alabama

Pg 4 of 47

<u>Rep. Chaka Fattah</u>	Pennsylvania
<u>Rep. Harold E. Ford, Jr.</u>	Tennessee
<u>Rep. Al Green</u>	Texas
<u>Rep. Alcee L. Hastings</u>	Florida
<u>Rep. Jesse L. Jackson, Jr.</u>	Illinois
<u>Rep. Sheila Jackson Lee</u>	Texas
<u>Rep. William J. Jefferson</u>	Louisiana
<u>Rep. Eddie Bernice Johnson</u>	Texas
<u>Rep. Stephanie Tubbs Jones</u>	Ohio
<u>Rep. John Lewis</u>	Georgia
<u>Rep. Cynthia McKinney</u>	Georgia
<u>Rep. Kendrick Meek</u>	Florida
<u>Rep. Gregory W. Meeks</u>	New York
<u>Rep. Juanita Millender-McDonald</u>	California
<u>Rep. Gwen Moore</u>	Wisconsin
<u>Rep. Eleanor Holmes Norton</u>	Washington, D.C.
<u>Sen. Barack Obama</u>	Illinois
<u>Rep. Major R. Owens</u>	New York
<u>Rep. Donald M. Payne</u>	New Jersey
<u>Rep. Charles B. Rangel</u> (founding member)	New York
<u>Rep. Bobby L. Rush</u>	Illinois
<u>Rep. David Scott</u>	Georgia
<u>Rep. Robert C. Scott</u>	Virginia
<u>Rep. Bennie G. Thompson</u>	Mississippi
<u>Rep. Edolphus Towns</u>	New York
<u>Rep. Maxine Waters</u>	California
<u>Rep. Diane E. Watson</u>	California
<u>Rep. Melvin L. Watt</u>	North Carolina
<u>Rep. Albert R. Wynn</u>	Maryland

[Return to Top](#)

IN RE) CHAPTER 11
)
DELPHI CORPORATION, et al.,) CASE NO. 05-44481 (RDD)
)
Debtors.) (JOINTLY ADMINISTERED)
) CLAIM NO'S. 257; 264; 288; 297.

AFFIDAVIT

SUPPORTING MOTION FOR ENTRY OF ORDER TO STRIKE
DEBTORS ET AL., 'NOTICE OF OBJECTION TO CLAIM',
'THIRD OMNIBUS CLAIMS OBJECTION', 'CLAIM
OBJECTION AND ESTIMATION PROCEDURES MOTION' AS
WELL AS ALL OTHER NON-COURT ORDERED, NON-CLERK
OF THE COURT SERVED ORDERS FOR RESPONSES
INCLUDING ALL RELATED DOCUMENTS IN THIS CASE
EXCEPT THE DEBTORS ET AL., VOLUNTARY PETITION,
THIS CREDITOR'S PROOFS OF CLAIMS, THE HUMAN
CAPITAL OBLIGATIONS AND CASH MANAGEMENT ORDERS
IN RELATION TO JUDGMENT/ORDER CREDITOR LAFONZA
EARL WASHINGTON!

STATE OF MICHIGAN)
COUNTY OF GENESSEE)

I, Lafonza Earl Washington, being first duly sworn, depose, states:

1. I am the Judgment/Order Creditor in the above action as the result of Human Capital Obligations Orders and Cash Management Orders entered both "STATUTORILY" and by the Court on October 8, 2005.
2. This Affidavit is submitted in support of this Creditor's Motion For "ENTRY" Of Order To Strike the out of court debtors in possession reorganization operations that is "NOT" being done as part of "ANY" judicial proceeding in neither the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York nor its bankruptcy unit division, by law, pursuant to Article III of the United States Constitution nor Title 28 of the United States Code as is self-evidenced by Title "11" of the United States Code being administrated which the debtors et al., are falsely pretending to seditiously bankruptcy fraud into a 'LITIGATION', 100% prohibitedly.
3. The facts in connection with this Judgment/Order Creditor's past due, authorized to have been paid on October 8, 2005, nunc pro tunc, PROOF OF CLAIMS are as follows:
 - (i) Debtors et al., admits that, "Effective January 1, 1999, the assets and liabilities of these divisions and subsidiaries were transferred to the Company, (Delphi), in accordance with the terms of a 'Master Separation Agreement' between Delphi and GM."

See Page 3, Paragraph 7 of the debtors 'Third Omnibus Claims Objection' mail frauded to this Creditor by the debtors et al., Notice and Claims Agent KURTZMAN CARSON CONSULTANTS on two different dates October 31, 2006, and received on November 3, 2006, as well as on November 13, 2006, that was received on November 17, 2006.

(ii) "BEFORE" the so-called, invisible Master Separation Agreement between delphi and GM, this Creditor had been an hourly employee for GM since June 13, 1973, for "26" years.

(iii) This Creditor and Family was accustomed to living in a four-bedroom homestead, two motor vehicles (new and less than two years old), at least \$65,000.00 yearly combined income between the Creditor and legal wife of 25 years Joan A. Washington, financial and economic respect in the family circle, the community, the religious community, the city, county and social communities of Flint and Genesee County, Michigan.

(iv) Seven months "AFTER" the so called, invisible Master Separation Agreement between GM and Delphi, this Creditor was forced into the Saginaw, Michigan, Delphi operations without having made any transfer application to do so - as is required by Law - by September of 1999, in 100% violations of Title 29 USC §§ 141 et seq., collective bargaining agreement authority under the Labor-Management Relation Act and the National Labor Relations Act, etc.

(v) This Creditor applied for "NONFORFEITABLE" after '6' years, ERISA retirement and pension compensations "AFTER" being forced into the Saginaw, Michigan, Delphi operations under involuntary servitude etc., conditions that is 100% prohibited by Amendment 13 of the United States Constitution's Bill of Rights.

(vi) This Creditor has "NOT" had one (1) paycheck or "NOT" one (1) living wage, nor living non-wage, nor retirement or pension, nor demanded 'REDEMPTION AGREEMENT' automatic and statutorily provided worker's compensation, nor guaranteed income distribution under applicable 'PERMANENT PLANT CLOSING' provisions of Title 29 due to the July 2, 1999, permanent plant closing of the GM Buick City operations that this Creditor had been actively employed at for over "26" years in the City of Flint, Michigan, ...

(vii) GM's Delphi's, the UAW's et al., monopolies and combinations of monopolies located at 30400 Telegraph Road, Bingham Farms, Michigan, have illegally seized this Creditor's "EXEMPT" homestead located at 6602 Martin Luther King Jr. Avenue, Flint, Michigan on August 11, 2005, without a valid Court's Order resulting in this Creditor and Family "NOT" owning nor possessing the safety, welfare, health etc., of their own homestead that had been paid for (the purchase price of \$33,000.00), by being racketeered, extorted, and bank frauded out of \$110,500.00 plus cash in "ONLY" fifteen years into the "30" year FHA insured mortgage!

(viii) In October of 1984, the same GM monopoly and combination of monopolies doing business at 30400 Telegraph Road, in

Bingham Farms, Michigan, had illegally seized this Creditor's and Family's "EXEMPT" homestead located at 6405 Karen Drive in Flint, Michigan, without a valid Court's Order to do so, 100% illegally and in violations of Amendment 10 of the United States Constitution's Bill of Rights, Article 10 § 3 of Michigan's 1963 Constitution, etc.

(ix) Notwithstanding that this Creditor has contributed to producing 3,000,000 plus motor vehicles for GM et al., since June 13, 1973, "NOT" one (1) new motor vehicle has ever been approved for financing in this Creditor's own name during the "33" plus years employer/employee relationship herein, further self-evidencing involuntary servitude illegal antitrust restraints, deliberate deprivations causing direct "ZERO" real and personal property ownership and prohibited being reduced - as a human being - to these corporations-owned property in 100% violations of "ALL" human rights, citizenship rights etc., guaranteed to be Federally and State protected by the 14th Amendment, etc.!

(x) GM's false pretenses of being granted an early retirement package thru prohibited proceedings in this bankruptcy unit of the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York has also compounded this Creditor's irreparable "7" plus years injuries by GM requesting the Application for the SAP or Special Attrition Plan option by the deadline of May 18, 2006, which was complied with in April of 2006, yet, "NOT" one (1) penny has been paid or received six-months afterwards nor any written communications to update the status of the \$140,000.00 option selected!

(xi) It is asserted that within the transfer of "ALL" assets and liabilities between GM and Delphi in January of 1999, GM assertedly and subsequently, transferred the assets and liabilities legally owed to this Creditor and Family with the unauthorized forced transfer of this Creditor into the Saginaw, Michigan, Delphi operations which were set-up to fail it is believed; the previous GM operation that the number one (out of 13) charged violator by the Securities Exchange Commission on October 31, 2006, J. T. Battenberg III, had been assigned an executive position at the GM Buick plants where this Creditor was employed and these plants "FAILED" and no longer exist after having been productive for about "100" years!

(xii) The former GM Guick City site of 197 acres has laid waste and vacant for over "7" years in the City of Flint, Michigan; this Creditor submitted a \$15 billion plus revenue annual Proposal to Richard Wagoner, GM's President, the State of Michigan, the County of Genesee, Jones Lang & LaSalle a Chicago, Illinois based firm hired to market the site etc., in October of 2003; and with the State of Michigan having the second highest unemployment rate in the United States, public sources reported on October 31, 2006, in the Detroit News newspaper, a rate of 7.1% as compared to the United States average of 4.6%, it is beyond human comprehension that in three years "NOT" one (1) communications has been received back; this Creditor filed business Notification with the Genesee County Clerk's office to do business as the U.S.A. C and Tbf Group, but the oligopoly, monopolies and combinations of monopolies herein absolutely will "NOT" share GOD'S earthly blessings with this

Creditor, an african-American, other African-Americans, nor the working class who are the actual and factual creator's of the final product that is the source of the wealth accumulated, but never enjoyed and particularly "NOT" enjoyed at an age when young enough to be enjoyed; for example, what good did the \$8 billion German Fund set up for Germs forced to engage in slave labor for GM, Ford, Daimler-Chrysler in World War II do as redress when the ex-laborers are "90", "95", "100" years old or more!

4. The facts stated above are known by me to be true, of my own knowledge.

Dated: November 22, 2006



BY: LaDonza Earl Washington

Creditor

7010 Cranwood Drive

Flint, MI 48505

Tel: 810.787.3150

Cell: 810.922.0308

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO BEFORE ME ON November 22, 2006
Date

GENESEE COUNTY, MICHIGAN, MY COMMISSION EXPIRES July 5, 2007
Date

SIGNATURE: Susan F. DeVernay
Susan F. DeVernay, Notary Public

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

IN RE)	CHAPTER 11
DELPHI CORPORATION, et al.,)	CASE NO. 05-44481 (RDD)
Debtors.)	(JOINTLY ADMINISTERED)
)	CLAIM NO'S. 257; 264; 288; 297.

NOTICE OF 11 U.S.C. § 102 (1) (B), § 301 and § 502 (a) MOTION FOR SELF-EXECUTING "ENTRY" OF ORDER TO "STRIKE" REDUNDANT, IMMATERIAL, IMPERTINENT AND SCANDALOUS MATTER IDENTIFIED AS THE DEBTORS ET AL., FRIVOLOUS, COLOR OF LAW 'NOTICE OF OBJECTION TO CLAIM' AGAINST LAFONZA EARL WASHINGTON SR., 'THIRD OMNIBUS CLAIMS OBJECTIONS' INCLUDING THE 'AMENDED EIGHTH SUPPLEMENTAL ORDER' AND 'ALL' OTHER "VOID" RESPONSES/PLEADINGS CAUSING PROHIBITED LOSSES OF STATUTORY AND CONSTITUTIONALLY PROTECTED MONETARY PROPERTY OWNED BY JUDGMENT/ORDER CREDITOR WASHINGTON HEREIN WITH ORDER FOR THE IMMEDIATE PAYMENT OF THE CLAIMS "THRU" THE COURT OR IN THE ALTERNATIVE TO ENTER ORDER OF IMMEDIATE PAYMENT BY THE STATUTORY "TRANSFeree" THE DEPARTMENT OF TREASURY-FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT SERVICE OR FROM THE DEPARTMENT OF TREASURY'S GENERAL FUND AND STATUTORY "SANCTIONS" AGAINST DEBTORS ET AL., DEBTORS ET AL ATTORNEYS

(ENTRY OF ORDER TO STRIKE)

The Human Capital Obligations and Cash Management Judgment/Order Creditor, above-named, moves the Court for the ministerial Order "STRIKING" the debtors' et al., 'Notice Of Objections To Claim' including "ALL" other responses and pleadings filed "AFTER" October 8, 2005, up thru this present date of November 15, 2005, pursuant to:

1. Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Rules 1, 2, 3, 4, 4.1, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12 (f) and 77 (d); and
2. Title 11 USC §§ 101 (5) (A) and (B) and (54); and
3. Title 28 USC §§ 102 (a) (1) and 112 (b), § 151, § 157 (a) and (d); and
4. Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure (FRBP) Rules 2019 (a) and (b) (2), 3001 (e) (4) and (f), 3003 (c) (4) on the grounds that:
5. The required "REFERRAL" from the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York was "NOT" motioned for by the

debtors et al., nor Ordered by this district court which self-evidences that Judge Robert D. Drain nor the bankruptcy unit of this Court have the necessary judicial power to exercise any scheduling jurisdiction whatsoever against this Creditor's Claims making it "BOUND" on the Court to "STRIKE" 100% of the debtors et al., responses, and any/all concealed attempts at prohibitedly scheduling the above-numbered claims. See Title 28 USC §§ 102 (a) (1), 112 (b), 151 and 157 (a); Title 11 USC §§ 101 (5) (A) and (B), § 301 and § 502 (a); Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure (FRBP) Rules 3001 (f) and 3003 (c) (4).

6. The 'BANKRUPTCY' subject matter in the Delphi Corporation case is a "CONGRESSIONAL" Article 1 § 8 United States Constitutionally vested "LEGISLATIVE" authority, powers which Judge Robert D. Drain does "NOT" posses and he is prohibited, the same as the judicial Court herein is, from exercising, hearing and making discretionary decisions against this Creditor's immediate payment of the involved claims. See 11 USC § 105 (c); Article III of the U.S. Constitution including Article 1 § 8.

7. No question of law have been presented by the debtors et al., nor question of concise, specific issue of fact self-evidencing the "PEREMPTORY", absolute, conclusive, final, positive, "NOT" admitting of question or appeal claims that were "BOUND" by law to have insured a "TIMELY" disposition of this Creditor's Claims on October 8, 2005, nunc pro tunc or now for then as demanded from the Court; yet, payment is continuing to be deprived and 100% "UNPAID" more than one (1) year later unlawfully.

8. "NOT" one (1) Order in the above-numbered case has been served upon this Creditor by the Clerk in 100% noncompliance with FRCivP Rule 77 (d) and it is demanded by law that Delphi et al., debtors 'Notice Of Objection To Claim', 'Third Omnibus Claims Objections', received by mail on November 3, 2006, including its "NON-RECEIVED" 'Amended Eighth Supplemental Order' alleged to have been entered by this Court on October 26, 2006, be "STRIKEN" from or against this Creditor's Claims.

9. The 'Notice Of Objection To Claim' etc., stating non-evidenced, 'unsubstantiated claim' is in violations of FRCivP Rule 11 (a) based on 'NOT' being signed by at least one attorney of record, nor any address, nor telephone number and Rule 11 (a) clearly authorize that, "An 'UNSIGNED' paper shall be 'STRIKEN' unless omission of the signature is corrected promptly after being called to the attention of the attorney or party which this Creditor brought to the attention of Delphi, its attorneys beginning October 28, 2006. See EXHIBIT #1, a hard copy of the "UNSIGNED", Notice Of Objection To Claim of Creditor Lafonza Earl Washington.

10. Pursuant to the FRBP Rule 3007 and Rule 5 (e) of the FRCivP, the debtors et al., Notice Of Objection To Claim etc., (EXHIBIT #1), does "NOT" have the Court's filing date evidenced thereon of being transmitted to the Clerk of the above-named Court and is demanded to be "STRIKEN" based on this continuous noncompliance with the law!

11. The Debtors et al., (EXHIBIT #1), is in violations of FRCivP Rule 11 (b) (1) based on these prohibited scheduling frauds or representations to this Court being presented for the improper purposes of:

(i) deliberately causing this "33" plus year employee and family, "7" plus years of being deprived of 100% of "ALL" monies to live on, without just cause and without a (valid) Court's Order to do so, and continuing the unjust delays!

(ii) compounding the "7" plus years of financial and economic hardships to deny justice and just distribution of GOD'S earthly wealth with any member of the working-class who brings the product to life to create the wealth using their (our) blood, sweat, tears and years - the best years of life, between the ages of eighteen and fifty-two (for myself personally); yet, those who sit in air conditioned offices at a luxurious distance from the assembly line wrongfully extorts the majority of the wealth such as the "13" Delphi former executives indicted by the SEC on October 31, 2006, and the GM executives who are being currently investigated, allegedly.

(iii) The debtors' et al., are "NOT" defendants herein, are "NOT" being prosecuted in this bankruptcy case in which the debtors' 'THEMSELVES' volunteered and filed the Voluntary Petition dated October 8, 2005, yet, the debtors are deliberately enjoying 'MULTI-BILLIONS' of dollars in new financings while their collusions are causing this Creditor and family denials of justice, unnecessary delay in payment of the statutorily authorized to be paid claims for more than one (1) year in violations of FRCivP Rule 11 (b) (1).

(iv) These debtors et al., are "NOT" creditors, they are "NOT" defendants nor have they presented any defense nor legal contentions warranted by existing law which they clearly have "NOT" cited to support their unsubstantiated claims obstructions of justice, prohibited interferences, self-evidenced bankruptcy and banking frauds etc., that obviously shows violations of FRCivP Rule 11 (b) (2).

(v) The debtors et al., nonspecific 'unsubstantiated claims' position against this Creditor's Claims are "HEARSAY EVIDENCE" allegations, whereby "STRIKING" of these unproven conclusions are "BOUNDED" on the Court to enter in this Creditor's favor based on the "TRUTH" that these co-conspiring subsidiaries, affiliates, attorneys etc., were "NOT" eyewitnesses to any of the events that the Claims factual summarizations and cited laws are supported by and under the UNIFORM RULE OF EVIDENCE Rule 63, the debtors 'Unsubstantiated claims' are 'INADMISSIBLE' by law. See FRCivP Rule 11 (b) (3) and (4)

(vi) This Creditor's Claims is supported by voluminous documentary evidences of:

A. 100% loss of "ALL" employee guaranteed income stream for "7" years and continuing that was directly caused by the questionable 'Master Separation Agreement' acknowledged "7"

years after the fact by Delphi, GM et al., on Page #3, Paragraph #7 of the debtors prohibited, litigious 'Third Omnibus Claims Objection', notwithstanding res judicata Human Capital Obligations and Cash Management Orders entered on October 8, 2005, voluntarily by debtors et al.

B. 100% loss of Title 29 USC § 1001 et seq., 1053 et seq., "nonforfeitable" retirement and pension guarantees that is 100% vested after the "6TH YEAR", yet, Delphi, GM's, the UAW "CORPORATION", et al, have obstructed, wrongfully interfered with etc., this Creditor's entitlements - every penny - from the date of payment and retirement demand (upon the closing of the GM Guick City plant) beginning September 6, 1999, with continued deprivations 100% thru this current date of November 15, 2006, for "7" years, "2" months.

C. This Creditor did "NOT" submit any Paragraph 96 (?) application to transfer from the permanently closed Flint, Michigan, GM Buick City plant into the Saginaw, Michigan, Delphi Corporation whereby it is alleged that the "INVOLUNTARY SERVITUDE", forced transfer made by these corporations violated slavery prohibitions under Amendment 13 of the Bill of Rights to the United States Constitution, as well as Title 29 USC §§ 141 et seq., Labor-Management Relations and the National Labor Relations Act etc., that does "NOT" give Delphi, GM, the UAW et al, entire control over this Creditor's entire life after employment with them!

D. This Creditor's legal hire-in date to GM was on June 13, 1973, more than "33" years ago where my family and I had become accustomed to the \$600.00 plus weekly paycheck, our 4 bedroom 2,200 square foot homestead, a separate new car for myself, a separate new car for my wife, cash on hand, economic and financial respect from the family, the community, society etc., that have "ALL" been deprived, caused to be losted, since the deprivations of 100% of "ALL" living wages, and living non-wage entitlements that began to wrongfully be seized by Delphi's, GM's, the UAW's et al, monopolies and combinations of monopolies beginning September 6, 1999, that is unlawfully continuing thru this current date as a direct result of the corporations collusions herein.

E. Title 29 USC § 651 provides for work-related compensation payment and after ("33" years), or "26" years service on the date of the Delphi/GM et al., questionable 'Master Separation Agreement', in September of 1999, EXHIBIT #2 self-evidences the collusions of GM, Delphi, the UAW et al., successful deprivations against this Creditor, under color of law to racketeer, extort, fraud etc., 100% of this Creditor's 'Redemption Agreement' that is statutorily and "AUTOMATICALLY" provided for under both Federal and State worker's compensation legislative enactments which are prohibited from being interfered with by the collective bargained contract between the corporations and the union who are limited, by law, to the negotiation "ONLY" of the three subject matters of wages, hours, and safety conditions inside the corporation's plant gates. See Public Act (P.A.) 317 of 1969 as amended; Michigan Compiled Laws (MCL) 418.801;

F. On December 5, 2005, the Flint Journal newspaper Inside Business section evidences the public racketeering, extortions, worker's disability compensation act frauds etc., by the Flint Area Chamber of Commerce receiving illegal 'DIVIDENDS' of \$423,000.00 to timely spend at Christmas time, notwithstanding that with specificity the worker's comp program is for employees suffering from work-related injuries and is "NOT" a stock, mutual fund nor investment service according to law, and "DIVIDENDS" are prohibited 100%. See EXHIBIT #3.

G. On several medical examinations between September thru December of 1999, at the "partial", GM financed Riverfront Medical Center Complex the examining physicians found this Creditor to be "unable" to work the same assembly line jobs that had been performed for the previous "26" years; regardless of the GM, UAW Agreement "BINDING" in writing of the medical examiners findings on "ALL" parties, the corporation, the union and the employee alike, additional medical examinations were illegally scheduled (similarly as the Creditor's Claims are being illegally scheduled in this case); eventually the collusions between the corporation, the union et al., concluded that statutory benefits and compensation that are "NOT" vested under the collective bargained Agreement nor vested in the corporation nor the union to determine, are illegally determined "NOT" to be paid; then regardless of Creditor and family and thousands of similarly violated hourly-employees within the auto industry "NEVER" being paid the statutorily provided "AUTOMATIC" compensation owed weekly, then monthly, then year after years, it is published that the majoritarian European related individuals ("NOT" one (1) African American is pictured in EXHIBIT #3), are being paid "DIVIDENDS" notwithstanding this Creditor and family being deprived of weekly compensations to "SURVIVE" on!

H. Enclosed as EXHIBIT #4 is a letter dated March 22, 2006, from an alleged Diana D. Tremblay, a General Motors Corporation Vice President to Mr. Richard Shoemaker, Vice President and Director, General Motors Department clearly evidenced bankruptcy frauds, banking frauds, racketeering, extortions, compounding the "7" plus years of seizing 100% of "ALL" living wages, living non-wages etc., from this Creditor by:

I. Using the questionable Delphi Corporation et al., bankruptcy to conceal an estimated \$54 Billion in the hourly employees retirement, pensions, coordinated health care and no-fault motor vehicle coverage insurance etc., through substantial subject matters "NOT" authorized under the collective bargained statutes of Title 29 of the United States Code, yet, oligopolized and monopolized thru these fraudulent Delphi bankruptcy proceedings, allegedly.

J. The Delphi proceedings herein had no judicial power properly vested in it by any official "REFERRAL" Order issued from the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York pursuant to 28 USC §§ 151 or 157.

K. This bankruptcy court and bankruptcy judge had no vested judicial power to issue retirement Orders to either Delphi, GM, the UAW, the Pension Benefit Guarantee Corporation et al., yet it issued such Orders and by doing so it or they compounded the "7" plus year violations against this Creditor and family's Human Rights etc., to pay for eating, sleeping, clothing, medical necessities, housing, private motor vehicle transportation necessities, any/all human subsistence and survival needs etc.

L. On Form D of EXHIBIT #5 the retirement frauds thru the bankruptcy prohibitions hereto were described as 'a Mutually Satisfactory Retirement (MSR).

M. However, EXHIBIT #6 a letter dated April 4, 2006, from General Motors to this Creditor describes a 'Special Attrition Plan' (SAP) covering all GM-UAW employees which contradicted the MSR, whereby both bankruptcy frauds violated the Employee Retirement Income Security Act (ERISA) enacted by the Congress in 1974 that has been amended but "NOT" repealed pursuant to 29 USC §§ 1001 et seq.

N. The UAW's 'SOLIDARITY' Magazine dated May-June 2006 is EXHIBIT #7, where on Page #5 a story by its writer Jennifer John is titled 'What's in the SAP?', which shows the UAW joined the bankruptcy fraudings and misrepresentations of the hourly employees to violate ERISA, the collective bargaining agreement limitations etc., as well as this Creditor's monetary entitlements.

O. The questionable 'Master Separation Agreement' between Delphi, GM, et al., transferred or made Delphi co-liable for GM's previous liabilities and coveyable real and personal property losses that have no statutes of limitations to be redressed for it is alleged, in favor of this Creditor and family.

P. Collectors who have no State of Michigan license to engage in the business of collection, neither the agency nor the agents, located at the same address that GM's resident agents for legal process are addressed at, at 30400 Telegraph Road, Bingham Farms, Michigan, 48025, and the monopoly etc., have co-conspired to illegally seize this Creditor's family "EXEMPT" homestead thru interstate racketeerings, extortions etc., located on 6602 Martin Luther King Jr. Avenue, regardless of the 'PURCHASE PRICE' - \$33,000.00 - which is legally defined as the mortgage, having been paid for three and one/third tiems or a total of \$110,500.00 plus since the January 1991 purchase date and the illegal eviction date August 11, 2005. See EXHIBITS #6 and #7.

Q. The same illegal collection agency of Trott & Trott located at the same address that GM's legal process agents are, illegally seized the first "EXEMPT" homestead from this Creditor and family in October of 1984 without a valid Court's Order as usual, to insure the involuntary servitude status of this citizen being forced to labor an entire lifetime to pay for the family's home and necessity of a dwelling place; this "EXEMPT" homestead was located at 6405 Karen Drive, in Flint, Michigan!

R. The same Constitutional Article, Article 10 § 3 of Michigan's 1963 Constitution that "EXEMPTS" the homestead also "EXEMPTS" personal property, yet notwithstanding having contributed to producing 3,000,000 plus motor vehicles for GM et al., "NOT" once since this Creditor's legal hire-in date on June 13, 1973, has GM's monopolies and combinations thereof approved the financing of even one (1) new motor vehicle for this Creditor in "33" plus years; only "5" have been financed with both Creditor and his legal wife's name thereon, regardless of Mrs. Washington having her own separate employment and income that entitles each, individually to their own property rights, the same as "WHITE" citizens, by law.

12. Any reasonable person can see and understand that the debtors et al., its questionable and illegal subsidiaries, its illegal affiliates have presented to the Court non-evidenced general allegations against this Creditor's res adjudicata claims, 100% 'unsubstantiated', do "NOT" have the required evidentiary good ground (or no ground) for support; yet, all of the above stated facts and law specifically warrants this Creditor's Claims as is required by law. See HEART DISEASE RESEARCH FOUNDATION -V- GENERAL MOTORS CORP., 15 Fed.R.Serv.2d 1517, 1519 (S.D.N.Y. 1972).

13. The advisory Committee Notes adopted in 1937, that was "NOT" deleted in subsequent committees requires factual or legal theories and a "SIGNER" to show that the pleading, motion or other papers served with the debtor's 'Notice Of Objection To Claim' was substantially justified, that was served or mail frauded upon this Creditor and received on November 3, 2006, that clearly fails "EACH" requirement justifying the Court's "STRIKING" of this pleading, motion etc., and to immediately enforce the payment of its Human Capital Obligations Orders and Cash Management Orders entered on October 8, 2005, in favor of this Creditor. See FRCP Rule 11 (a) and (b) (1), (2), (3) and (4).

14. It is obvious that the debtors "UNSIGNED" 'Notice Of Objection To Claim' violates its willful Voluntary Petition under 11 U.S.C. §§ 301 and 102 (6) which were "ENTRY" of Orders for relief "NOT" merely an Order, that required adjudication and finalization of this creditor's Claims more than one (1) year ago, but disobeyed 100% in violations of FRCP Rule 4.1 (b) enforcement of Orders and civil contempt rules.

15. The debtor's et al., 'Notice Of Objection To Claim' is "BOUND" to be "STRIKEN" based on an application to the Court for an Order relating to this Notice was "NOT" made by the required motion pursuant to FRCP Rule 7 (b) and is absent the required 'PARTICULARITY' or separate, single grounds authorizing any objection.

16. Pursuant to FRCP Rule 26 and FRBP Rule 3003 (c) (4) this Creditor's Claims are "EXEMPT" from being objected to based on the scheduling being superseded by the Proofs of Claims themselves; including discovery being prohibited due to no trial being entitled to, showing there is no genuine issue in dispute from the out of Court debtor et al., where it is asserted that

this Court have no jurisdiction over the "NEWLY FORMED", debtor in possession Delphi Corporation.

17. EXHIBIT #8, a copy of the Delphi Corporation website files evidences that "NOT" one (1) docket was officially recorded for an affiliate and verifying that "NOT" one (1) affiliate or subsidiary of this Corporation had a pending case on October 8, 2005; this truth further evidences that "NOT" one (1) unnamed 'certain' subsidiary had the required legal standing to object to this Creditor's Claims based on Delphi's debtor in possession status resulted in its out-of-court status, legally and equitably, leaving only the creditor's payable claims for nondiscriminated paying which 100% prejudice is existing against this Creditor's rights under 11 USC § 101 (5) (A) and (B) etc.

18. The debtors et al., Voluntary Petition Form enclosed as EXHIBIT #9 testifies against it; Form B1, Page 2, clearly shows that the bankruptcy frauding affiliates had no 'PENDING' Case Number assigned to it, nor judge, no date of filing except the October 8, 2005, filing that was the "ADMITTED" date hereof Case Number 05-44481 filing.

19. On every factual basis, on every legal basis cited or non-cited, but applicable, the truth clearly evidences that Delphi's et al., 'Notice Of Objection To Claim' is according FRCP Rule 11, redundant, immaterial, impertinent and scandalous as is further evidenced by its attorneys SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP et al., located in both Chicago, Illinois and New York, New York, within its bankruptcy frauding 'Notice Of Bar Date For Filing Proof Of Claim'; dated April 12, 2006; herein this Notice described as EXHIBIT A, the affiliates date of filing each's separate Petition was October 8, 2005, the same date of the parent delphi Corp.'s filing verifying that no affiliate's case was pending before the parent's October 8, 2005, filing.

20. By reference to 11 USC § 101 (2) (A) evidences the impossibility of "39" different affiliates to be, separately, an "entity" that directly or indirectly owns, controls, or holds with power to vote, '20 PERCENT' or more of the 'OUTSTANDING' voting securities, that reasonably means for Delphi to maintain a majority of itself 51% is necessary, clearly showing the "20%" impossibility for "39" entities to own etc., the required "20%" of a remaining 49% if that quantity remained!

21. This Creditor "TIMELY" made application for the SAP retirement in May of 2006 and selected the \$140,000.00 offer that the U.S. Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York bankruptcy frauded in the approved agreement that this Court exceeded its jurisdiction to determine on behalf of GM, Delphi, the UAW etc.; "6" months later "NOT" one (1) peény of this "EXEMPT" retirement property has been paid, that is legally "NOT" a weekly wage paycheck and is entitled to just income tax treatment as "EXEMPT" retirement property - allegedly - similarly as federal judges lifetime wages are "AFTER" their retirement from active service or the assuming of senior status, with the common ground between the retired federal judge and retired hourly auto employee being "NON-ACTIVE" citizens again notwithstanding some

conflicting interest assignments by the federal judge that 28 USC §§ 132 and 294 limits according to law.

22. Pursuant to the FRCP Rule 11 (d) this creditor is entitled by law to commence a malicious prosecution and abuse of process, directing 'REMEDIAL' action authorized under 28 U.S.C. § 1927, Title 42 U.S.C. § 1983 etc., the First, Fifth, Thirteenth, and Fourteenth Amendments to the U.S. Constitution Bill of Rights based on the holocaustic, criminal, seditious, civil violating 'Notice Of Objection To Claim' bankruptcy frauded upon this Creditor on November 3, 2006, by unknown, nonsignatorized violators of the law who have no consciousness for the welfare, health, safety nor living necessities of this creditor and family "AFTER" seven years of "INHUMAN" financial and economic hardships being inflicted without one (1) just cause for such prohibited action!

23. This majoritarian process is outlawed under the equal protection of the laws provisions of the Fourteenth Amendment and being it that "BANKRUPTCY" is an Article 1 § 8 of the U.S. Constitution legislative being 100% abused and seditiously overthrown by this outlawed process that has been conducted with 100% willful, reckless and malicious disregard for this Creditor's and family financial and economic survival regardless of having served GM, Delphi, the UAW etc., since June of 1973, for more than "33" years, it is past time for the United States Congress and with specificity the "BLACK CAUCUS" to exercise vested "BANKRUPTCY" herein!

24. How much money is a white man or European male descendant life is worth in the United States per hour, per day, per week, per month, per year, for "7" years, for more than "33" years?

25. How much money is a caucasian or European female descendant life worth for the same period of time?

26. The United States Constitution states in its Unanimous Declaration (in part) that, "...We hold these truths to be 'SELF-EVIDENT' that 'ALL' men are created 'equal', that they are endowed by their CREATOR with certain 'unalienable' Rights, that among these are Life, Liberty and the pursuit of Happiness..."

27. Yet, majoritarian class members have clearly violated these 'UNALIENABLE' Rights of this Creditor and family for "7" years and is continuing to do so, until the demanded money is paid which is only a consolation for the invaluable "7" years caused to suffer financially and economically that was required by Law and by the Righteousness of God to have been enjoyed in exchange for the "33" years of seeds sowed in the auto industry; but no harvest at this time of cultivation in life due to the uncontrolled bankruptcy frauds, banking frauds, ERISA statutorily provided retirement and pension frauds, statutorily provided 'AUTOMATIC' worker's disability compensation 'REDEMPTION AGREEMENT' frauds, medical and health care deprivation frauds, real and personal property ownership and possession frauds etc.

28. And for a second time on November 17, 2006, KURTZMAN CARSON CONSULTANTS the Notice and Claims agents for the Delphi Corporation et al., has mail frauded the same prohibited 'Notice Of Objection To Claim', 'Claim Objection and Estimation Procedures Motion', and 'Third Omnibus Claims Objection' that the Clerk of the Court has "NOT" exercised her required duties to serve upon this Creditor "AFTER" a response by the debtor was properly Ordered by the Court pursuant to FRCP Rule 77 (d) clearly evidencing the high degree of lawlessnesses in this case, as well as:

(i) Repeated violations of 11 USC § 502 (a) that 'ALLOWS' the payment of these Claims and by the fact that the Delphi Corporation has been entered final orders to receive debtor in possession powers of reorganization, as well as multi-billions of dollars on the condition it pay all of the debtors obligations, including this Creditor's Claims, clearly shows that the jurisdiction of this Court no longer exists over this "NEWLY" formed corporation who is out of Court, has no further legal nor equitable standing to be a party herein, nor does any of the debtors subsidiaries and affiliates.

(ii) The "UNSIGNED" Notice Of Objection To Claim against Lafonza Earl Washington self-evidences that no "SIGNER" will admit his or her guilt of bankruptcy frauding, bank frauding, extortions, interestate racketeering etc., yet, these outlawed activities are being engaged in wrongfully.

(iii) This Creditor moves to "STRIKE" this 'Notice Of Objection To Claim' in its entirety and with specificity paragraphs 11, 12, 13, and 14 including the prohibited absent signature(s)!

(iv) Pursuant to Fed.R.Bankr.P. Rules 9013 and 9014 the Court thru its duly sitting Clerk did "NOT" Order or direct a response to the 100% fraudulent 'Notices Of Objection To Claim' and the debtors are "NOT" entitled nor authorized to exceed the judicial power nor Clerk's office administratively vested powers arbitrarily to demand such a response that is moved to be "STRIKEN".

(v) Pursuant to 11 USC §§ 101 (5) (A) and (B), § 301, § 502 (a), Fed.R.Bankr.P. Rules 3001 (e) (4), and (f), 3003 (c) (4), including 2019 (a) and (b) (2), the payment of this Creditor's Claims are res judicata and were due, nunc pro tunc, or now for then, dated October 8, 2005, by law which self-executingly "STRIKES" these "UNTIMELY" objections to a Voluntary Petition agreed to be paid the debtor et al.!

(vi) Between 2001 to 2003, the General Motors Corporation, Ford and Chrysler (Daimler) paid slave labor damages amounting to an estimated \$8 billion to Germans who were forced to work in their factories without pay during World War II from 1939 to 1945; that \$8 billion was for a six-year period of time that clearly shows this Creditor who has personally, socially, financially and economically been attacked by the dictating oligopoly, monopoly and combinations of monopolies of

the ruling class for "33" plus years have a right to demand "6.5" ~~times~~
the amount paid to the German workers based on the time period
duration alone, but also on the truth and fact of being a United
States citizen whose Constitution and laws prohibits the involun-
tary servitude indirectly suffered for "33" plus years and
directly suffered since September of 1999, for more than 7" years.

(vii) This Creditor enrolled at the University of Michigan-Flint and obtained a Business Management Certification Degree in 1987 and finished in the top 2% of the law school admission testing (the LSAT) at the University of Michigan-Ann Arbor, yet, "NOT" one (1) time in "33" plus years did GM, Delphi, the UAW etc., grant one (1) of the many promotion and resume' applications submitted!

29. The Holy Bible is the foremost religious authority accepted by the United States and the world, yet, the ruling class is in 100% violations of the Jewish teachings in Deuteronomy Chapter 15, verses 12 thru 15, which clearly states:

"And if thy brother, an Hebrew man, or an Hebrew woman be sold unto thee, and serve thee 'SIX' years, then in the 'SEVENTH' year thou shalt let him go free from thee."

"And when thou sendest him out free from thee, thou shalt 'NOT' let him go away empty."

"Thou 'SHALT' furnish him liberally out of thy flock, and out of thy floor, and out of thy winepress; of that wherewith the LORD thy GOD hath blessed thee thou 'SHALT' give unto him."

"And thou 'SHALT' remember that thou wast a slave in the land of Egypt, and the LORD thy GOD redeemed thee; therefore, I 'COMMAND' thee this thing 'today'".

Then Jeremiah the prophet writes in Chapter 34, verses 13, 14, 15, 16, and 17 which clearly states:

"Thus saith the LORD, the GOD of Israel, I made a covenant with your fathers in the day that I brought them forth out of the land of Egypt, out of the house of bondage, saying",

"At the end of 'SEVEN' years let ye go every man his brother, an Hebrew, who hath been sold unto thee; and when he hath served thee 'SIX' years, thou 'shalt' let him go free from thee; but your fathers hearkened 'NOT' unto me, neither inclined their ear."

"And ye were now turned, and had done right in my sight, in proclaiming 'LIBERTY' every man to his neighbor; and ye had made a covenant before me in the house which is called by my name;"

"But ye turned and polluted my name, and caused every man his servant, and every man his handmaid, whom ye had set at 'LIBERTY' at their pleasure, to return, and brought them into subjection, to be unto you for servants and for handmaids".

"Therefore, thus saith the LORD, ye have 'NOT' hearkened unto me, in 'PROCLAIMING LIBERTY', every one to his brother, and every man to his neighbor. BEHOLD, I 'PROCLAIM' a liberty for you, saith the LORD, to the sword, to the pestilence, and to the famine; and I will make you to be 'REMOVED' into all the kingdoms of the earth."

30. Moses wrote Deuteronomy between 1450 thru 1410 B.C. which was more than 700 years before Jeremiah wrote his prophesies in 700 B.C.; yet, GOD'S same messages to Israel, here, have a 3,000 year common thread sewing together GOD's Divine curses upon Israel and its scattered descendants that remains unto this day, regardless of Israel's children earthly economic and other powers; Israel will "NOT" be granted its own territorial kingdom, nor its own territorial nation, until Israel obey GOD'S Divine Orders to set your brother and your neighbor at 'LIBERTY', beginning this day with GOD'S Messenger Lafonza Earl Washington in this current dispensation and continued Divine testing of you, Israel!

(i) GOD has Divinely inspired me to deliver this message which can be a true blessing to your potential territorial kingdom and nation, or you can remain spiritually and earthly deadlocked in this disobedience impasse. Our Most Divine GOD has put this decision into your own hands, just as real as this document being read is in your hands.

(ii) Will you obey GOD and began to receive GOD's Divine blessings that all the collective nor individual human wisdom, human knowledge, human wealth etc., have 'NOT' delivered for Israel in the 3050 years since Moses and will "NOT" deliver this day, nor in the next 3,000 years unless Israel et al., set at "LIBERTY" Lafonza Earl Washington. Only then will your disobedience curses from GOD will began to turn into your desired blessings and began to unite you into your own kingdom and land, when you obey the covenants between GOD and Israel et al.

31. According to 28 USC §§ 151 and 157 (a) the prerequisite that is preconditioned or required 'BEFOREHAND' for the bankruptcy judges and bankruptcy Court unit of the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York is authorized to interfer and acquire jurisdiction over this Creditor's Claims

have "NOT" been satisfied as follows:

(i) § 151 in part clearly requires that, "...each bankruptcy judge, as a judicial officer of the district court 'MAY EXERCISE' the authority conferred under this chapter with respect to any action, suit or proceeding...'EXCEPT' as otherwise provided by law or by rule or order of the district court", showing;

(ii) That no Order exists on the U.S. District Court's docket "BEFORE" nor "ON" October 8, 2005, vesting judicial power in neither the bankruptcy court unit of this district court nor its judges, particularly Robert D. Drain et al.;

(iii) 'MAY EXERCISE' was "NOT" authorized by the proper, valid district court's Order and assertedly does "NOT" mean, can absolutely exercise without this necessary Order, showing;

(iv) § 157 (a) violations by the bankruptcy frauds, banking frauds etc., based on the compliance with the statutory prescription hereunder § 157 (a) of "Each district court 'MAY PROVIDE' that any or all cases under Title 11...shall be referred to the bankruptcy judges for the district", was 'NOT' provided by any officially recorded Order from the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York to its bankruptcy unit.

(v) It is asserted that "NO" further judicial powers 'EXCEPT' the enforcement of the 'STATUTORILY' provided "ENTRY" of Orders for Relief enacted by the Congress pursuant to 11 USC §§ 101 (5) (A) and (B), § 301, § 502 (a) is to be complied with as opposed to the 100% prejudicial and unauthorized 'RESPONSES' et al., by the debtors et al., repeated and continuous overthrowing of the United States Bankruptcy Code.

32. The U.S. Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York had no vested judicial power to delegate to the debtors et al., any power of 'REVIEW' of this Creditor's Claims which are "BOUND" to be "STRICKEN" by law according to FRCP Rule 12 (f) etc.

Attached is this Creditor's Affidavit - under 28 USC § 1654 - In Support Of Motion To Strike With Immediate Disbursement Of The Good Faith Estimated Claim Less % Of the Sum Legally and Equitably Owed.

Dated: November 21, 2006


BY: Lafonza Earl Washington
Judgment/Order Creditor
7010 Cranwood Drive
Flint, MI 48505
Tel: 810.787.3150 or
Cell: 810.922.0308

IN RE) CHAPTER 11
)
DELPHI CORPORATION et al.,) CASE NO. 05-44481 (RDD)
)
Debtors.) (JOINTLY ADMINISTERED)
) CLAIM NO'S. 257; 264; 288; 297.

ORDER

IT IS ORDERED that in the alternative to the immediate disbursement from the above-named Court, that the transfer of the above-numbered Claims be paid by the Transferee, the Department of Treasury's General Fund without delay payable by submitting this Order to the United States Treasurer's office commanding that \$1,071,202,249.00 be paid by express courier check to Judgment/Order Creditor Lafonza Earl Washington without delay.

Dated: November , 2006
New York, NY

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE

IN RE) CHAPTER 11
)
DELPHI CORPORATION et al.,) CASE NO. 05-44481 (RDD)
)
Debtors.) (JOINTLY ADMINISTERED)
) CLAIM NO'S. 257; 264; 288; 297.

ORDER

IT IS ORDERED that Judgment/Order Creditor Lafonza Earl Washington is granted the sum of \$1,071,220,249.00 minus 6% estimation of good faith endeavors to cooperate which amounts to \$64,273,214.90 and for the remainder of the sum of \$1,006,947,034.10 to be immediately disbursed to the Judgment/Order Creditor without delay, according to the Fed.R. of Bankr.P. Rules 3001 (c) and (f), 3003 (c) (4), Title 11 USC § 502 (a) and the Title 11 U.S.C, Chapter 11 reorganization, case administration, voluntarily filed by the above-named debtors which conflicts with Chapter 7 liquidation and all other contingencies based on certain Revolving Credit, Term Loan and Guaranty Agreement with certain financial institutions named in the filing of the debtors Voluntary Petition.

Dated: November , 2006
New York, NY

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE

05-44481-rdd Doc 5863 Filed 11/27/06 Entered 11/28/06 12:05:39 Main Document
Lorraine Earl Washington Pg 24 of 47
7010 Cranwood Drive
Flint, MI 48505
Tel: 810.787.3150
Cell: 810.922.0308

Judgment/Order Creditor, Transferor, Drawer and Payee

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

IN RE:) CHAPTER 11
)
DELPHI CORPORATION ET AL.,) CASE NO. 05-44481 (RDD)
)
Debtors.) (JOINTLY ADMINISTERED)
) CLAIM NO'S. 257; 264; 288; 297.

NOTICE OF MOTION - UNDER 11 U.S.C. § 102 (1) (B) -
FOR ENTRY OF ORDER STRIKING DEBTOR'S ET AL, COLOR
OF LAW OBJECTION TO CLAIM NO'S. 257, 264, 288, AND
297, ESTIMATION OF PAYMENT SUM AND IMMEDIATE DIS-
BURSEMENT OF THIS NON-ESTIMATED SUM PURSUANT TO
11 U.S.C. §§ 101 (5) (A) AND (B), (54); § 102 (6);
§ 502 (a), § 521 (1); TITLE 11, RULES 3001 (c) (4)
AND (f); 3003 (c) (4); 9014; TITLE 28 U.S.C. §§
102 (a) (1), 112 (b), 151, 157 (a), 1654; FRCivP
RULES 1, 2, 12 (f) 23, 38, 77 (d); INCLUDING TITLE
15 U.S.C. §§ 1 THRU 15 (TREBLE ENTITLEMENTS AGAINST
THE COMPOUNDING OF PROPERTY INJURIES BY MONOPOLIES,
COMBINATIONS THEREOF) UNIFORM COMMERCIAL CODE -
ARTICLE 4A - FUNDS TRANSFER § 4A-103 (1) (i) AND
(iii), (2), (3), (4), (5), AND (c); § 4A-105 (a)
(1), (2), (3), (4) AND (5); TITLE 31 USC §§ 301
ET SEQ., 1304 (a) (1); 3333; 3335; 3727.

TO: The Clerk of the United States Bankruptcy Court
for the Southern District of New York;
The Clerk of the United States District Court
for the Southern District of New York;
The Department of the Treasury - Financial
Management Service - Disbursement Management
Division; and
Office of the Comptroller of the Currency:

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that Title 11 of the U.S. Bankruptcy Code
§ 102 (1) (B) authorizes this act 'WITHOUT' an actual hearing
and the Notice is given properly to the above named officers who
has the statutory duty to administrate the immediate payment to
this Creditor as demanded.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §§
101 (1) et seq., the definition and subject matter of "DEBTORS-

IN-POSSESSION" have no statutory existence and notwithstanding that the reorganization process transfers substantially all the assets of the "OLD" prepetition Delphi Corporation to the "NEW" postpetition Delphi Corporation, no legal standing exist for the debtors nor any of their representatives to dispute, object, nor contest the payment of this Creditors "VESTED", claims in which this bankruptcy court, the debtors et al., collusions are in criminal and civil violations of based on obstructing and interfering with this Creditor's immediate possession of his monetary property that no longer belongs to the debtor's et al., nor is the United States property pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §§ 101 (5) (A) and (B); (54); § 102 (6); § 502 (a); Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure (FRBP) Rules 3001 (e) (4) and (f); 3003 (c) (4), etc.; Title 31 U.S.C. § 3727 etc.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that pursuant to FRBP, Rule 3003 (c) (4) the effect of this Creditor filing Proof of Claims NO.'s 257, 264, 288, and 297 that were filed "TIMELY" in accordance with (c) (1) of Rule 3003 etc., "SHALL" supersede "ANY" scheduling of these Claims pursuant to 11 USC § 521 (1) of the U.S. Bankruptcy Code, and these bankruptcy frauding objections are discriminating and prohibited attempts by the debtors to obtain further prohibited delay, prohibited dismissal, scheduling, estimation, reduction, nonpayment etc., 100% illegally.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that pursuant to 11 USC § 102 (6) and § 301 the 'order for relief' means 'ENTRY' of an order for relief where this statutory requirement, as well as the Court's October 8, 2005, entry of the demanded payment for Human Capital Obligations and Cash Management is "RES ADJUDICATA", a thing decided, a matter adjudged by which a final judgment (both statutory and Court entered) is conclusive upon the debtor and this Creditor involving this "SAME" action in any subsequent litigation, objection etc.!

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that Section #9 of 'FORM BIO (Official Form 10)' clearly states in part that, "...if the documents are voluminous, attach a summary", in which more than "4" pages of "FACTUAL" summarizations were filed with the original Proof of Claim evidencing that the Delphi Corporations and certain of its questionable subsidiaries and questionable affiliates are prohibited from bankruptcy frauding an 11 USC § 301 Voluntary Petition filing into an Article III of the U.S. Constitution controversy in a non-Article III Bankruptcy Court before a non-Article III judge, then exercising their nonvested judicial decision-making power to review then conclude that the basis for objection is 'Unsubstantiated Claim', a 100% violations of the evidentiary effect of FRBP Rule 3001 (f) against this Creditor's "RES ADJUDICATA" Ordered "VALID" Claims.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that Delphi's own testimony on Page #3, Paragraph #7, of its 'NON-COURT ORDERED' and prohibited ("Third Omnibus Claims Objection") evidences the foundation of this Creditor's Claims and is identified as, '...the terms of a Master Separation Agreement between Delphi and GM', that discriminately forced this Creditor into the Delphi hourly employment without any application having been made to be transferred,

in September of 1999 "AFTER" having been employed by GM since June 13, 1973, for "26" years at that time of this questionable separation in which the same 'STAKEHOLDERS' are the "same" for both corporations that it is believed the October 31, 2006, fraudulent accounting indictments of "13" former and current Delphi executives by the Securities and Exchange Commission will verify!

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that according to 28 USC §§ 102 (a) (1) and S 112 (b), including the Delphi Corporation's Voluntary Petition Form itself evidences that the Street Address of the Debtor, its County of Residence or the Principal Place of Business being "OAKLAND COUNTY, MICHIGAN" required the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York "NOT" this bankruptcy unit to initially determine it's own "lack" of jurisdiction and prohibiting any one (1) of its bankruptcy judges from exercising judicial power in this case, as well as prohibiting the district court herein from referring this matter to its bankruptcy unit pursuant to 28 USC §§ 151 and 157 (a), the Fourteenth Amendment, etc.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the 'Notice Of Objection To Claim' received by Creditor Lafonza Earl Washington from Delphi's Notice and Claims Agent on November 3, 2006, is in 100% violations of 28 USC § 1654 due to KURTZMAN CARSON CONSULTANTS LLC "NOT" being identified by Delphi et al, as their attorneys in this bankruptcy frauding, bank frauding etc., matter, yet, 28 USC § 1654 requires corporations to be represented in Court by licensed attorneys and this Notice etc., is assertedly "VOID"!

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the Notice of Objection To Claim is in noncompliance with the FRCivP Rule 77 (d) which clearly authorizes, "Immediately upon the entry of an order or judgment the "CLERK SHALL" serve a notice of the entry by mail in the manner provided for in Rule 5 upon each party...and shall make a note in the docket of the mailing", self-evidencing the "VOIDNESS" of the repeated and continuous bankruptcy frauding, bank frauding etc., of the Delphi Corporation et al., prohibitively against this Creditor due to the truth of the fact being the "CLERK" did "NOT" serve this Notice Of Objection To Claim dated or received on November 3, 2006, and by law, this Notice is "VOID" and "UNENFORCEABLE".

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that a responsive pleading, including this Notice Of Objection To Claim was 'NOT' served upon this Creditor by the Clerk in compliance with FRCivP Rule 77 (d), as well as FRCivP Rule 12 and is "VOID", by law, which authorizes this Notice Of Objection To Claim against Creditor Lafonza Earl Washington to be "striken", by law, based on "NOT" being Ordered by the Court nor served by the Clerk.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that pursuant to the FRCivP Rule 12 (f) the facts of each case is controlling, notwithstanding these proceedings being equitable and "NOT" at law, an administration "NOT" litigation, prohibiting the debtors, its questionable affiliates from exercising nonvested judicial powers to make any "REVIEW" or "FINDINGS" based on the "ENTRY" of the

Order for Relief being statutory and "NOT" a decision of the Court on issues of fact, which are additional grounds to "STRIKE" the non-Court Ordered response and Notice of Objections To Claim against Creditor Lafonza Earl Washington, 100% prejudicially and in 100% denial of justice.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the Debtors' admitted 'REVIEW' of this Creditor's proof or proofs of claim are prohibited corporate acts which are 'entirely' without authority for which there is no remedy at Law, "EQUITY" always enjoins such acts under the "INJUNCTION" Court Rules pursuant to FRCivP Rule 65.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE THAT THIS Creditor properly transferred Claims No. 257, 264, 288, and 297 to the Department of the Treasury - Financial Management Service on August 14, 2006, pursuant to 11 USC §§ 101 (5) (A) and (B), (54) etc., FRBP, Rules 3001 (e) (4) and (f), 3003 (c) (4), Title 31 USC § 3727 etc., including the Uniform Commercial Code (U.C.C.) Article 4A-Funds transfer and by law the rights, title and interest when the payment is received legally belongs to that subagency of the United States clearly evidencing that any/all objection by the debtor is "NOT" timely "3" months "AFTER" the statutorily provided transfer nor to the "RES ADJUDICATA" entries of the Order for relief entered on October 8, 2005, which are additional grounds "BOUNDED" on the Court to "STRIKE" this fraudulently served and existing Notice of Objection To Claim against this Creditor.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that this Bankruptcy Court has "NOT" been certified to preside over a 'CLASS ACTION' nor has the debtors been granted the certification of a class action in the non-legal bankruptcy court unit of the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York and is prohibited from attempting to obtain a concealed dismissal, or to have a prohibited defense entered without offering new evidence against this Creditors summarizations of facts supporting the Claims that was directed by Paragraph #9 on the Proof Of Claim Form clearly evidencing that the "UNPROVENED" categorical statement of 'Unsubstantiated Claim' "NOT" based on any related fact nor related alw is "BOUNDED" by Law to be "stricken" out of the records against this Creditor. See FRCivP Rule 12 (f).

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that this Creditor demands that this Third Omnibus Objection be "STRIKEN" out of the records against this Creditor based on the truth of fact that Delphi's collusions with GM under its acknowledged 1999 Master Separation Agreement that attempted to arbitrarily force this hourly employee over to Delphi after "26" years with GM has resulted in 100%, "SEVEN" years and continuing, loss of "ALL" living wages, living non-wages, statutory retirement, statutory worker's compensation 'Redemption Agreement' submitted in September of 1999 etc., in violations of involuntary servitude prohibitions under Amendment "13" of the United States Constitution.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that according to 11 U.S.C. § 101 (5) (A) and (B) the debtors et al, have "NOT" and "CANNOT" state any law question to prevent this Creditor from recovering the payment of these Claims which clearly evidences that fact

pleading is "NOT" required in Federal courts and in the matter of HOLMES -V- NEW YORK CITY HOUSING AUTHORITY, C.A.N.Y. 1968, 398 F.2d 262, the New York Courts Ordered that, "Mere fact that some of the allegations in a (Claim) complaint are lacking in detail is 'NOT' proper ground for dismissal (disallowance, expunging, estimation, reduction etc.) of the action", entitling this Creditor to have the Court "STRIKE" the unsubstantiated claims bad faith motion by the debtors et al., according to law and fact. See FRCivP Rule 12 (f).

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the debtors et al., unsubstantiated claim position are continued bankruptcy frauds that are equal to a prohibited - by law - motion to dismiss it is alleged where the New York Court Ordered in THOMPSON -V- NEW YORK CENT. R. CO., D.C.N.Y. 1966, 250 F.Supp. 175, that, "Whether plaintiffs (this CLAIMANT/CREDITOR in re Delphi herein) can 'SUBSTANTIATE' claim in complaint (PROOF OF CLAIM) is 'NOT' for determination on motion to dismiss which is the sole reason why these limited appearance foreign attorneys are filing the non-Court Ordered responses instead of disbursing payment required by law; the Court is required and is demanded to "STRIKE" the debtors et al., responses to prevent a publicly Noticed and known denial of justice engagements herein against Creditor Washington.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that in a Chapter 11 debtor's claim against state agency reasons for 'DISALLOWANCE' must be 'SPECIFIC' and the Delphi 'UNDATED', 'UNSIGNED', 'NON-COURT ORDERED' Third Omnibus Claims Objection, Notice Of Objection To Claim etc., having Lafonza Earl Washington Sr.'s name appearing in the greeting, witnesses against itself that, 'The enclosed Third Omnibus Objection identifies "several" different (or "5" general) categories of objections'; it is obvious hereby that the debtors arbitrarily grouped thousands of claims divided up into these "5" categories without being vested with judicial nor jury power as the authorized "TRIER OF FACT" self-evidencing that Delphi has illegally served an "INSUFFICIENT" defense that is "NOT" specific against this Creditor's "SPECIFIED", summarized Proof of Claims and by law cannot prevent recovery nor continue to delay recovery and must be "STRIKEN". See FRCivP Rule 12 (f); IN RE ST. MARY HOSP., BKRTCY.E.D. Pa. 1991, 125 B.R. 422, applicable to New York and Michigan etc., under the Full Faith and Credit Clause.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the debtors et al., objections To Claims against this Creditor only purpose is to bankruptcy and bank fraud dismissal of the allowed, valid, "BOUND" by law to be paid, Claims; yet, the New York Courts Ordered in LaBOUNTY -V- ADLER, C.A.2 (N.Y.) 1991, 933 F.2d 121, that, "Upon motion to dismiss for failure to state claim, 'ALL' factual allegations in complaint must be taken as 'true' and 'CONSTRUED FAVORABLY' to plaintiff", or this Creditor/Claimant, which further make "BOUND" on the Court the duty to "STRIKE" the debtors et al., insufficient responses and to pay these Claims without additional unnecessary delay. See FRCivP Rule 12 (f).

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that "Purpose of motion to dismiss for failure to state claim is to assess 'legal' feasibility of complaint (or Proof of Claims herein) 'NOT' to weigh evidence which plaintiff (or this Creditor) offers or intends to offer", which hearing or ruling on is prohibited in this non-trial bankruptcy unit court and the debtors have "NOT" cited even one (1) law self-evidencing that by law the debtors cannot prevent recovery by this Creditor. See FRCivP Rule 12 (f); CITIBANK, N.A. -V- K_H CORP. S.D.N.Y. 1990, 745 F.Supp. 899.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that pursuant to FRBP Rule 3001 (e) (4) this Transferor/Creditor encloses or attaches hereto the STATUTORY AGREEMENT regarding its, transferred, relative rights respecting voting of the claims, payment of dividends thereon or participation in the administration of the estate, according to 11 USC § 102 (1) (B) which authorizes an act without an actual hearing; this Noticeis properly given to the Court and Transferee - only - based on the postpetition debtors et al., and debtors et al., reorganization operations are "OUT OF COURT" or "NOT" being done as part of a judicial proceeding, but as 'DEBTORS IN POSSESSION' since October 8, 2005, and continuing to the present date; nor was this Voluntary Petition ever part of a judicial proceeding under Article III of the U.S. Constitution nor Title 28 judiciary and judicial statutes, it is alleged.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that pursuant to "EQUITY" and "LAW" one form of action requirements of FRCivP Rule 2, substantial justice is provided for and demanded, immediately by law, where the prescribed and customary forms of ordinary bankruptcy law is inadequate to enforce this Creditors "ONE YEAR PAST DUE" legal and lawful entitlements that is irreparably injuring and deliberately compounding "7" plus years deprivations of rights hereto under color of law against living wages, as well as "ALL" other forms of compensations in deliberate violations of 11 USC §§ 101 (5) (A) and (B), (54), § 301, § 501, § 502 (a), including the FRBP Rules 3001 (e) (4), and (f), 3003 (c) (4) etc. See SEC -V- UNITED STATES REALTY & IMPROV. CO., 310 US 434, 84 L Ed 1293, 60 S Ct 1044.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the New York Courts have ordered that, "...an equity court is 'NOT' hampered by the restrictive and inflexible rules of which governs common-law courts and there is no procedural distinction in federal court between suits in equity and suits at alw. See RIPLEY -V- INTERNATIONAL RAILWAYS OF CENTRAL AMERICA (1st Dept) 8 App Div 2d 310, 188 NYS2d 62, motion gr 7 NY2d 752, 193 NYS2d 664, 162 NE2d 747 and affd 8 NY2d 430, 209 NYS2d 289, 171 NE2d 443; FRCivP 2; and ROSS -V- BERNHARD, 396 US 531, 24 L Ed 2d 729, 90 S Ct 733, CCH Fed Secur L Rep ¶ 92566, 13 FR Serv 2d 1042, applicable to each of the several states citizens under the Full Faith and Credit Clause.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that, "In most states, legal and equitable remedies have been commingled in one form of action, distinctions between actions at law and suits in equity have been abolished and if the facts set forth in a complaint entitle a party to relief, the form or name of the action is immaterial", further evidencing that notwithstanding this form of action being designated as a bankruptcy proceeding relief is immediately

entitled to, to redress "7" years and continuing of prohibited financial and economic hardships illegally being inflicted on this Creditor and family without even one (1) just cause! See GOODWIN -V- RODRIGUEZ, 520 Pa 296, 554 A2d 6; KINGSBURY -V- TEVCO, INC., (3rd Dist) 79 Cal App 3d 314, 144 Cal Rptr 773.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that according to the Uniform Commercial Code (UCC), it provides that unless displaced by particular provisions of the act, the principles of equity supplement its provisions and action is defined in the UCC as including a suit in equity which shows that the UCC Articles cited herein for the transfer of the funds owed are applicable and "BOUND" to be enforced by this Court. See UCC §§ 1-103 and 1-201 (1).

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that, "'SUBSTANTIAL RIGHT' for purposes of determining whether decision is final and appealable is legal right enforced and protected by law", clearly evidencing that each of the summarized facts are directly, federally guaranteed to be protected by law presented by this Creditor's Proof of Claims that it must be repeated, binds on this Court the duty to "STRIKE" the debtors unsubstantiated claim that was mail frauded, bankruptcy frauded, banking frauded etc., as a Notice Of Objection To Claim received on November 3, 2006, 100% prohibitedly! See RC § 2505.02; STATE EX REL. WHITE -V- CUYAHOGA METRO. HOUS. AUTH., 79 Ohio St. 3d 543, 684 N.E.2d 72 (1997); 4 AM JUR 2d Supp Appellate Review § 120.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the Federal Court itself, "in ascertaining appropriateness of a FRCP...certification district court's finding as to whether there exists any just reason to delay final judgment is subject to reversal if district court fails to adequately explain basis for such finding", clearly showing that, (1) the Delphi et al., "NON-SPECIFIC" review against this Creditor was "NOT" a court's finding, (2) was "NOT" the required certified finding that is vested in courts to judicially exercise as opposed to the debtors et al., conflict of interest unauthorized corporate findings, (3) failed to adequately explain basis for such finding to create the required issue or controversy to give the debtors et al any legal standing to challenge the Claims in any Court of law, and (4) self-evidences disobedience to the Court's Orders entered on October 8, 2005, more than one year ago to pay the entered Human Capital Obligations and Cash Management Orders demanded by this Creditor! See GEN-PA BIGLI ISLEM LTD. LIAB. CO. -V- VIRTUAL TECH. (1996, Ed Mich) 169 FRD 84; 4 AM JUR 2d Supp Appellate Review § 123.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the Human Capital Obligations and Cash Management Orders entered on October 8, 2005, which the Delphi Corporation et al., is in contempt of, obstructing and disobeying more than one (1) year later were Orders directed and voluntarily petitioned for by the debtors et al, was/is enforceable by contempt if necessary, and was plainly designed to accord "SUBSTANTIVE" relief sought by judgment creditor similarly situated as this judgment creditor is that was granted by the New York Courts and demanded to be granted herein by law. See HBE LEASING CORP. -V- FRANK (1995, CA2 NY) 48 F3d

623; 4 AM JUR 2d Supp Appellate Review § 120.

WHEREFORE Judgment/Order Creditor Lafonza Earl Washington respectfully request that the Court grant and enforce its Human Capital Obligations and Cash Management Orders entered on October 8, 2005, more than one (1) year ago that the debtors are in contempt of for repeatedly failing to obey and make immediate disbursement of \$1,068,302,019.00, or in the alternative certify an Order to the Department of Treasury - Financial Management Services or to the Secretary of Treasury, or the Comptroller of the Currency to immediately pay the demanded amount from the Treasury's General Fund due from the Transferred Claim dated August 14, 2006, and grant such other and further relief as is just.

Dated: November 21, 2006


BY / Lafonza Earl Washington
Judgment/Order Creditor,
Transferor, Drawer and Payee

Judgment/Order Creditor, Transferor, Drawer and Payee

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

IN RE:) CHAPTER 11
)
DELPHI CORPORATION ET AL.,) CASE NO. 05-44481 (RDD)
)
Debtors.) (JOINTLY ADMINISTERED)
) CLAIM NO'S. 257; 264; 288; 297.

3001

MOTION FOR ENTRY OF ORDER FOR FEDERAL RULES OF
BANKRUPTCY PROCEDURE RULE (e) (4) STATUTORY
STIPULATION/AGREEMENT TO FINALIZE THE RIGHTS,
TITLE AND INTEREST TRANSFERRED TO THE DEPART-
MENT OF TREASURY - FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT
SERVICE OR THE GENERAL FUND OF THE DEPARTMENT
OF TREASURY OR THE COMPTROLLER GENERAL TO
SETTLE THIS ACCOUNT BY IMMEDIATELY PAYING TO
CREDITOR/TRANSFEROR LAFONZA EARL WASHINGTON
THE SUM OF \$1,068,302,019.00

It is stipulated according to FRBP Rule 3001 (e) (4) that
the below-provided agreements in the above-entitled action is
as follows:

1. That pursuant to FRBP Rule 3003 (c) (4) this Creditor's
Claims were authorized to supersede or cause the debtors et al.,
schedules and objections to be set aside as "VOID".

2. That the debtors et al., schedules and timetables for
the "NEW" postpetition, reorganized Delphi Corporation restruc-
turings is also set aside, by law, based on the "OLD" pre-
petition indebted Delphi et al., corporation legally and
equitably owing this Creditor and Transferee the above-numbered
Claims "NOT" the "NEW" corporation pursuant to FRBP Rules 3001
(f), 3003 (c) (4), Title 11 USC §§ 101 (5) (A) and (B), § 301,
§ 501, and § 502 (a).

3. That the Claims have been transferred for security
pursuant to FRBP Rule 3003 (c) (4).

4. This stipulation agrees that Creditor Lafonza Earl
Washington is authorized to supersede, set aside, replace in
power the debtors et al., schedules with the allowed, prima facie
evidence of the validity and amount of the Claims according to
the statutes cited and elects to replace any/all relative rights

respecting voting of the claims, payment of dividends thereon, or participation in the administration of the estate with the claims being paid in full and the release of the debtors.

5. This stipulation agrees that the Transferee can elect to participate in the reorganization process "AFTER" the payment in full of the Claims to this Creditor according to its own decisions "AFTER" the release that is mutually agreed to be conditioned on the payment in full.

6. That the above-numbered Claims of this Creditor were demanded to be paid from the "RES ADJUDICATA" Human Capital Obligations and Cash Management Orders entered on October 8, 2005, more than one (1) year ago and such Orders were voluntarily petitioned for by the debtors; they were final Orders entered by the Court, as well as statutorily entered Orders pursuant to 11 USC §§ 102 (6) and § 301 preempting any further jurisdiction over these subject matters by the U.S. Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York - except - enforcement of the payment/disbursement Orders.

7. That the Court have no further jurisdiction to enter Orders respecting rights on the voting of these claims, payment of dividends, thereon, or participation in the administration of the estate due to the body Congress having already prescribed and provided "SELF-EXECUTING" enforceable entitlements to this Creditor to be paid in full which is demanded to be paid immediately.

8. Nunc pro tunc, or now for then, pursuant to Title 31 USC § 3522 (b) (2), the statutory non-decision making duty to submit this account to the appropriate official in the District of Columbia by the 20th day following the Certified transfer dated August 14, 2006, is submitting the account to the Comptroller General by the 60th day after receiving the account that, by law, was required to have been made on October 14, 2006.

9. Nunc pro tunc, or now for then, pursuant to Title 31 USC § 3522 (b) (4), a 31 USC § 1304 payment demand was made on December 31, 2005, to the U.S. Department of Justice to David S. Jones in the office of the Southern District of New York and the finalizing of this statutory, non-decision making duty to submit this account by a disbursing official of the Department of Justice, by law, "SHALL BE" or should have been submitted to the Comptroller General by the 80th day after the account was received that was required to have been about March 24, 2006.

Dated: November 13, 2006


BY: Lafonza Earl Washington
Judgment/Order Creditor,
Transferor, Drawer & Payee

Statutorily served on:

Clerk of the Court
U.S. Bankruptcy Court
Southern District of New York
One Bowling Green
New York, N.Y. 10004-1408

Clerk of the Court
U.S. District Court
Southern District of New York
500 Pearl Street
New York, N.Y. 10007

David M. Walker
Comptroller General
441 G Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20548

Department of the Treasury
Financial Management Service
Disbursement Management Division
ACH Payment Operations
401 14th Street, SW Third Floor
Washington, D.C. 20227

U.S. Department of Justice
United States Attorney Office
Southern District of New York
Helen Cantwell, Ass't. U.S. Attorney
86 Chambers Street
New York, N.Y. 10007

SECURITIES EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Linda Chatman Thomsen
Enforcement Director
Division of Enforcement
100 F Street, NE
Washington, D.C. 20549

U.S. Department of Justice
Criminal Division
Fraud Section Unit
950 Pennsylvania Avenue
Washington, D.C. 20530-0001

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

IN RE) CHAPTER 11
)
DELPHI CORPORATION, ET AL.,) CASE NO. 05-44481 (RDD)
)
Debtors.) (JOINTLY ADMINISTERED)
) CLAIM NO'S 257, 264, 288, 297.

CERTIFICATE OF FINAL JUDGMENT/ORDER
FOR HUMAN CAPITAL OBLIGATIONS AND CASH
MANAGEMENT ORDERS TO DISBURSE PAYMENTS
TO CREDITOR LAFONZA EARL WASHINGTON

STATE OF NEW YORK)
)
COUNTY OF NEW YORK)

I, Kathleen Farrell-Willoughby, Clerk, do certify that:

1. I am the duly qualified and acting Clerk of the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York.
 2. In the case of In re Delphi Corporation et al., debtors, Case No. 05-44481, which was a Voluntary Petition bankruptcy reorganization action judgment/order entered in the above Court on October 8, 2005, in favor of Human Capital Obligation and Cash Management Creditor Lafonza Earl Washington and against the Delphi Corporation et al., debtors including JPMorgan Chase Bank N.A., the unanimously consented to party as debtor and debtors administrative Agent.
 3. No appeal was taken from this entered judgment/order.
 4. The entry of the above-described judgment/orders were the result of a Voluntary Petition filed by the debtors et al.
 5. The judgment/order above described is a final judgment/order under the "UNIFORM" bankruptcy laws of the United States including 11 USC §§ 101 (5) (A) and (B), § 301, § 502 (a) etc., and the "ENTRY" of the Order for relief is res adjudicata.
- I have executed this instrument and affixed the Seal of the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York on November _____, 2006.

Clerk of the United States Bankruptcy Court
Southern District of New York

ABSTRACT OF JUDGMENT REQUEST**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

IN RE)	CHAPTER 11
DELPHI CORPORATION ET AL.,)	CASE NO. 05-44481 (RDD)
Debtors.)	(JOINTLY ADMINISTERED)
)	CLAIM NO'S 257, 264, 288, 297.

Judgment/Order Creditor: Lafonza Earl Washington

Judgment/Order Debtor: Delphi Corporation and
JPMorgan Chase Bank N.A.

Amount of Judgment/Orders:

Claims: \$1,068,302,019.00 (total as of November 13, 2006)

Interest: \$416,890.00 per day

Costs: \$ N/A

Attorney's fees: \$ N/A

Judgment/Orders entered in Docket Number, on _____,
2006, nunc pro tunc or now for then, October 8, 2005.

I CERTIFY that the above is a true and correct abstract of
the judgment/order entered in the above entitled cause.

Dated: November , 2006

Clerk of the United States
Bankruptcy Court for the
Southern District of New York

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

Entered 11/28/06 12:05:39 Pg 38 of 47 WAS NOT RECEIVED 30/11

days before "VOID" hearing! THIS
CREDITOR'S CLAIMS SUPERSEDES being
scheduled, FRBP 3003(c)(4)!

----- X -----
In re : Chapter 11
DELPHI CORPORATION, et al. : Case No. 05-44481 (RDD)
Debtors. : (Jointly Administered)

----- X -----
NOTICE OF OBJECTION TO CLAIM

Lafonza E Washington Sr:

Delphi Corporation and certain of its subsidiaries and affiliates, debtors and debtors-in-possession in the above-captioned cases (collectively, the "Debtors"), are sending you this notice. According to the Debtors' records, you filed one or more proofs of claim in the Debtors' reorganization cases. Based upon the Debtors' review of your proof or proofs of claim, the Debtors have determined that one or more of your claims identified in the table below should be disallowed and expunged or modified as summarized in that table and described in more detail in the Debtors' Third Omnibus Objection to Certain Claims (the "Third Omnibus Objection"), a copy of which is enclosed (without exhibits). The Debtors' Third Omnibus Objection is set for hearing on November 30, 2006 at 10:00 a.m. (Prevailing Eastern Time) before the Honorable Robert D. Drain, United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York, One Bowling Green, Room 610, New York, New York 10004. AS FURTHER DESCRIBED IN THE ENCLOSED THIRD OMNIBUS OBJECTION AND BELOW, THE DEADLINE FOR YOU TO RESPOND TO THE DEBTORS' OBJECTION TO YOUR CLAIM(S) IS 4:00 P.M. (PREVAILING EASTERN TIME) ON NOVEMBER 24, 2006. IF YOU DO NOT RESPOND TIMELY IN THE MANNER DESCRIBED BELOW, THE ORDER GRANTING THE RELIEF REQUESTED MAY BE ENTERED WITHOUT ANY FURTHER NOTICE TO YOU.

The enclosed Third Omnibus Objection identifies several different categories of objections. The category of claim objection applicable to you is identified in the table below in the column entitled "Basis For Objection":

Claims identified as having a Basis For Objection of "Insufficient Documentation" are those Claims that did not contain sufficient documentation in support of the Claim asserted, making it impossible for the Debtors to meaningfully review the asserted Claim.

Claims identified as having a Basis For Objection of "Untimely Insufficient Documentation" are those Claims that did not contain sufficient documentation in support of the Claim asserted making it impossible for the Debtors to meaningfully review the asserted Claim and also were not timely filed pursuant to the Order Under 11 U.S.C. §§ 107(b), 501, 502, And 1111(a) And Fed R. Bankr. P. 1009, 2002(a)(7), 3003(c)(3), And 5005(a) Establishing Bar Dates For Filing Proofs Of Claim And Approving Form And Manner Of Notice Thereof, dated April 12, 2006 (Docket No. 3206) (the "Bar Date Order").

Claims identified as having a Basis For Objection of "Unsubstantiated Claim" are those Claims that assert liabilities or dollar amounts that the Debtors have determined are not owing pursuant to the Debtors' books and records.

Claims identified as having a Basis For Objection of "Untimely Unsubstantiated Claim" are those Claims that assert liabilities or dollar amounts that the Debtors have determined are not owing pursuant to the Debtors' books and records and were also not timely filed pursuant to the Bar Date Order.

Claims identified as having a Basis For Objection of "Claims Subject to Modification" are those Claims that were overstated or were denominated in foreign currencies and which the Debtors seek to modify to a fully liquidated, U.S.-denominated amount in line with the Debtors' books and records and/or the liquidated amounts requested by the Claimants, as appropriate, and to appropriately classify the total amount of such remaining Claims as general unsecured claims.

Date Filed	Claim Number	Asserted Claim Amount ¹	Basis For Objection	Treatment Of Claim
10/31/2005	257	\$30,000,000.00	Unsubstantiated Claim	Disallow and Expunge
11/1/2005	264	\$30,000,000.00	Unsubstantiated Claim	Disallow and Expunge
11/2/2005	288	\$30,000,000.00	Unsubstantiated Claim	Disallow and Expunge
11/3/2005	297	\$30,000,000.00	Unsubstantiated Claim	Disallow and Expunge

If you wish to view the complete exhibits to the Third Omnibus Objection, you can do so on www.delphidocket.com. If you have any questions about this notice or the Third Omnibus Objection to your claim, please contact Debtors' counsel by e-mail at delphi@skadden.com, by telephone at 1-800-718-5305, or in writing to Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom LLP, 333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100, Chicago, Illinois 60606 (Attn: John Wm. Butler, Jr., John K. Lyons, and Randall G. Reese). Questions regarding the amount of a Claim or the filing of a Claim should be directed to Claims Agent at 1-888-259-2691 or www.delphidocket.com. CLAIMANTS SHOULD NOT CONTACT THE CLERK OF THE BANKRUPTCY COURT TO DISCUSS THE MERITS OF THEIR CLAIMS.

If you disagree with this Third Omnibus Objection, you must file a response and serve it so that it is actually received by no later than 4:00 p.m. (Prevailing Eastern Time) on November 24, 2006. Your response, if any, to the Third Omnibus Claims Objection must (a) be in writing, (b) conform to the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure, the Local Bankruptcy Rules for the Southern District of New York, and the Amended Eighth Supplemental Order Under 11 U.S.C. §§ 102(1) And 105 And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(m), 9006, 9007, And 9014 Establishing Omnibus Hearing Dates And Certain Notice, Case Management, And Administrative Procedures, entered by this Court on October 26, 2006 (the "Amended

¹ Asserted Claim Amounts listed as \$0.00 generally reflect that the claim amount asserted is unliquidated or is denominated in a foreign currency.

Eighth Supplemental Case Management Order") (Docket No. 5418), (c) be filed with the Bankruptcy Court in accordance with General Order M-242 (as amended) – registered users of the Bankruptcy Court's case filing system must file electronically, and all other parties-in-interest must file on a 3.5 inch disk (preferably in Portable Document Format (PDF), WordPerfect; or any other Windows-based word processing format), (d) be submitted in hard copy form directly to the chambers of the Honorable Robert D. Drain, United States Bankruptcy Judge, and (e) be served upon (i) Delphi Corporation, 5725 Delphi Drive, Troy, Michigan 48098 (Att'n: General Counsel), (ii) counsel to the Debtors, Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom LLP, 333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100, Chicago, Illinois 60606 (Att'n: John Wm. Butler, Jr.), (iii) counsel to the agent under the Debtors' prepetition credit facility, Simpson Thacher & Bartlett LLP, 425 Lexington Avenue, New York, New York 10017 (Att'n: Kenneth S. Ziman), (iv) counsel to the agent under the postpetition credit facility, Davis Polk & Wardwell, 450 Lexington Avenue, New York, New York 10017 (Att'n: Donald Bernstein and Brian Resnick), (v) counsel to the Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors, Latham & Watkins LLP, 885 Third Avenue, New York, New York 10022 (Att'n: Robert J. Rosenberg and Mark A. Broude), (vi) counsel to the Official Committee of Equity Security Holders, Fried, Frank, Harris, Shriver & Jacobson LLP, One New York Plaza, New York, New York 10004 (Att'n: Bonnie Steingart), and (vii) the Office of the United States Trustee for the Southern District of New York, 33 Whitehall Street, Suite 2100, New York, New York 10004 (Att'n: Alicia M. Leonhard).

Your response, if any, must also contain at a minimum the following: (i) a caption setting forth the name of the Bankruptcy Court, the names of the Debtors, the case number, and the title of the Third Omnibus Objection to which the response is directed; (ii) the name of the claimant and description of the basis for the amount of the claim; (iii) a concise statement setting forth the reasons why the claim should not be disallowed or modified for the reasons set forth in the Third Omnibus Objection, including, but not limited to, the specific factual and legal bases upon which you will rely in opposing the Third Omnibus Objection; (iv) all documentation or other evidence of the claim upon which you will rely in opposing the Third Omnibus Objection to the extent not included with the proof of claim previously filed with the Bankruptcy Court; (v) to the extent that the Claim is fully or partially unliquidated, the amount that you believe would be the allowable amount of such Claim upon liquidation of the Claim or occurrence of the contingency, as appropriate; (vi) the address(es) to which the Debtors must deliver any reply to your response, if different from that presented in the proof of claim; and (vii) the name, address, and telephone number of the person (which may be you or your legal representative) possessing ultimate authority to reconcile, settle, or otherwise resolve the claim on your behalf.

If you properly and timely file and serve a Response in accordance with the above procedures, and the Debtors are unable to reach a consensual resolution with you, the Debtors have requested that the Court conduct a status hearing on November 30, 2006 at 10:00 a.m. regarding the Third Omnibus Claims Objection and any Response and set further hearings pursuant to the Motion For Order Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. §§ 502(b) And 502(c) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(m), 3007, 7016, 7026, 9006, 9007, And 9014 Establishing (i) Dates For Hearings Regarding Disallowance Or Estimation Of Claims And (ii) Certain Notices And Procedures Governing Hearings Regarding Disallowance Or Estimation Of Claims (the "Claims Objection and Estimation Procedures Motion") being filed contemporaneously with the Third Omnibus Objection. With respect to all uncontested objections, the Debtors have requested that this Court conduct a final hearing on November 30, 2006 at 10:00 a.m. or as soon thereafter as counsel may be heard. The procedures set forth in the Claims Objection and Estimation Procedures Motion will apply to all Responses and hearings arising from this Third Omnibus Claims Objection.

TO THE EXTENT ANY PROOF OF CLAIM LISTED ABOVE ASSERTS CONTINGENT OR UNLIQUIDATED CLAIMS, IF YOU FILE A RESPONSE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE ABOVE PROCEDURES, PURSUANT TO THE CLAIMS OBJECTION AND ESTIMATION PROCEDURES MOTION THE DEBTORS HAVE REQUESTED THE AUTHORITY TO ELECT, IN THEIR SOLE DISCRETION, TO PROVISIONALLY ACCEPT THE AMOUNT THAT YOU HAVE ASSERTED WOULD BE THE ALLOWABLE AMOUNT OF SUCH PROOF OF CLAIM UPON LIQUIDATION OF THE CLAIM OR OCCURRENCE OF THE CONTINGENCY, AS APPROPRIATE, AS THE ESTIMATED AMOUNT OF SUCH CLAIM PURSUANT TO SECTION 502(c) OF THE BANKRUPTCY CODE FOR ALL PURPOSES. YOUR PROOF OF CLAIM WOULD REMAIN SUBJECT TO FURTHER OBJECTION AND REDUCTION AS APPROPRIATE. THE DEBTORS' ELECTION WOULD BE MADE BY SERVING YOU WITH A NOTICE IN THE FORM ATTACHED TO THE CLAIMS OBJECTION AND ESTIMATION PROCEDURES MOTION.

The Bankruptcy Court will consider only those responses made as set forth herein and in accordance with the Amended Eighth Supplemental Case Management Order. If no responses to the Third Omnibus Objection are timely filed and served in accordance with the procedures set forth herein and in the Amended Eighth Supplemental Case Management Order, the Bankruptcy Court may enter an order sustaining the Third Omnibus Objection without further notice. Thus, your failure to respond may forever bar you from sustaining a Claim against the Debtors.

LAFONZA E WASHINGTON SR
7010 CRANWOOD DR
FLINT MI 48505

KURTZMAN
CARSON
CONSULTANTS
1210 Culver Boulevard, Suite 1
Los Angeles, California 90066

Lafonza Earl Washington
Lafonza Earl Washington
7010 Cranwood Dr
Flint, MI 48505-5425





UNITED STATES POSTAGE
02 1A 0004301598 \$ 02.31⁰
OCT 31 2006
MAILED FROM ZIP CODE 90066

12910 CULVER BLVD. • SUITE 1 • LOS ANGELES • CALIFORNIA 90066-6709 USA



KURTZMAN
CARSON
CONSULTANTS LLC

12910 CULVER BLVD. • SUITE I • LOS ANGELES • CALIFORNIA 90066-6709 USA



LAFONZA E WASHINGTON SR
7010 GRANWOOD DR
FLINT, MI 48505

JESSLYN AP 48505

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)

- and -

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

Delphi Legal Information Hotline:
Toll Free: (800) 718-5305
International: (248) 813-2698

Delphi Legal Information Website:
<http://www.delphidocket.com>

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

----- X
;
In re : Chapter 11
;
DELPHI CORPORATION, et al., : Case No. 05-44481 (RDD)
;
Debtors. : (Jointly Administered)
;
----- X

NOTICE OF FILING OF LIST OF PROPOSED MEDIATORS PURSUANT TO DEBTORS'
CLAIM OBJECTION AND ESTIMATION PROCEDURES MOTION

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that in accordance with footnote 5 of the Motion For
Order Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. §§ 502(b) And 502(c) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(m), 3007, 7016,

7026, 9006, 9007, And 9014 Establishing (I) Dates For Hearings Regarding Disallowance Or Estimation Of Claims And (II) Certain Notices And Procedures Governing Hearings Regarding Disallowance Or Estimation Of Claims (the "Claim Objection and Estimation Procedures Motion"), filed October 31, 2006 (Docket No. 5453), Delphi Corporation and its affiliate debtors (the "Debtors") hereby identify the mediators selected by the Debtors to conduct the mandatory non-binding summary mediation as provided for in the Claim Objection and Estimation Procedures Motion. The list of proposed mediators, comprised of mediators located in Chicago, Illinois, Detroit, Michigan, and New York, New York, is attached hereto as Exhibit A.

Dated: New York, New York
November 16, 2006

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER
& FLOM LLP

By: /s/ John Wm. Butler, Jr.
John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711)
John K. Lyons (JL 4951)
Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)
333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700

- and -

By: /s/ Kayalyn A. Marafioti
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al.,
Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

EXHIBIT A

DEBTORS' PROPOSED LIST OF MEDIATORS

The following mediators have been selected by the Debtors to conduct mediations pursuant to the relief requested in the Claim Objection and Estimation Procedures Motion. The Debtors are in the process of obtaining commitments from certain of the proposed mediators listed below to participate in the claims and estimation process. In addition, certain of the proposed mediators are conducting internal research to determine whether they may appropriately mediate disputes between the Debtors and claimants. Accordingly, the Debtors may revise this list based upon the outcome of these discussions and internal research. In the event the Debtors elect to do so, they will file a revised list prior to the hearing on the Claim Objection and Estimation Procedures Motion scheduled for November 30, 2006.

Lawrence Abramczyk

Marc Abrams

Ronald Barlant

Michael Baum

Morton Collins

Susan Cook

Samuel Damren

Eugene Driker

Jonathan Flaxer

Rozanne Giunta

Erwin Katz

Edward Moran

Alan Nisselson

Thomas Plunkett

Marty Reisig